Introduction to Mesoscale Meteorology: Part II

Jeremy A. Gibbs

School of Meteorology

gibbz@ou.edu

January 15, 2015

1/70

Overview

Administrative Follow-Up Homework Exams Term Project

Introduction to Mesoscale, Continued Synoptic Scale Mesoscale Classification of Scales Definition of Scales via Scale Analysis on Equations of Motion Summary

Homework

Homework 1

- Distributed on February 3rd
- Due on February 17th

Homework 2

- Distributed on March 3rd
- Due on March 24th (extra week for Spring Break)

Homework 3

- Distributed on April 9th
- Due on April 23rd
- The dates for this homework may change slightly

Exams

Exam 1

- In class on Tuesday, February 24th from 11:30 am 12:45 pm
- This will cover the first three chapters of material (intro, mountain waves, boundary layer)

Exam 2

- Time to be announced later
- This will cover the fourth chapter of material (convection, single/multi-cell storms, squall lines, supercells, tornadoes, etc.)

Final Exam

Thursday May 7 in NWC 5600 from 10:30 am - 12:30 pm

- Project will be a review of a mesoscale topic of your choice
- Distributed on Tuesday, March 10 more info then
- Due on the last day of class (April 30th)

Synoptic Scale

- Midlatitude synoptic-scale motions are primarily driven by baroclinic instability.
- Baroclinic instability most likely with disturbances $\sim 3 \times L_R$

 $L_R = NH/f \sim 1000 \text{ km} - \text{Rossby}$ radius of deformation

- $N \rightarrow Brunt-V$ äisälä frequency
- $H \rightarrow$ scale height of the atmosphere
- $f \rightarrow \text{Coriolis parameter}$

Synoptic Scale

- L_R can be thought of as the length scale at which the velocity vector of a gravity wave is rotated such that it is perpendicular to the pressure gradient
- In other words, L_R is the scale at which rotational effects become important
- ► For scales ~ L_R, velocity and pressure fields both adjust to maintain balance between momentum and mass fields
- ► For scales ≫ L_R, velocity field adjusts to the pressure field during geostrophic adjustment
- ► For scales ≪ L_R, pressure field adjusts to the velocity field during geostrophic adjustment

- ► Synoptic scale (≳ L_R) is characterized by near geostrophic balance for straight flow
- Flow accelerations and ageostrophic motions are small
- Curved flow imbalances result in centripetal acceleration such that flow is approximately parallel to curved isobars, *i.e.* the gradient wind balance.

- ► For scales < L_R, pressure gradients can be much larger than on the synoptic scale, while Coriolis acceleration remains of similar magnitude to that on the synoptic scale
- This leads to both large flow accelerations and ageostrophic motions
- Thus, gradient wind balance does not hold

Thus, the mesoscale is dynamically the scale for which both ageostrophic advection and Coriolis acceleration are important

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

- Unlike the synoptic scale, the mesoscale can be forced by a variety of drivers
 - topography
 - thermal instability
 - symmetric instability
 - barotropic instability
 - Kelvin-Helmholtz instability, and more

Mesoscale

Compared with synoptic scale disturbances (*e.g.*, extratropical cyclones), mesoscale phenomena are more short-lived. The mesoscale Lagrangian timescales are bound by:

The buoyancy oscillation

- ► $2\pi/N \sim 10 \min$
- simple gravity waves
- A pendulum day
 - ► $2\pi/f \sim 17h$
 - inertial oscillations, such as low-level ageostrophic wind component (leads to nocturnal low-level wind max)

A Rough Definition

- Let us use a more qualitative definition and try to relate the mesoscale to something more concrete.
- Roughly consider that the word *mesoscale* defines meteorological events having spatial dimensions of <u>the order of one state</u>.
- Thus, individual thunderstorms or cumulus clouds are excluded since their scale is on the order of a few kilometers.
- Similarly, synoptic-scale cyclones are excluded since their scale is on the order of several thousands of kilometers.

Classification of Scales



13 / 70

- ► For purposes of this class, we will use Orlanski's definitions
- Our primary focus will be meso-β (or classical mesoscale) and meso-γ (or small or convective scale)
- We will also cover meso-α (e.g., hurricanes) and micro-α scale (e.g., tornadoes).

Some temporal scales in the atmosphere are obvious.

- diurnal cycle
- annual cycle
- inertial oscillation period due to Earth's rotation, the Coriolis parameter f
- advective time scale time taken to advect over certain distance

Some space scales in the atmosphere are obvious.

- global related to earth's radius
- scale height of the atmosphere related to the total mass of the atmosphere and gravity
- scale of fixed geographical features mountain height, width, width of continents, oceans, lakes

Scale analysis is a very useful method for establishing the importance of various processes in the atmosphere and terms in the governing equations.

Based on the relative importance of these processes/terms, we can deduce much of the behavior of motion at such scales.

Consider this simple example:

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + fv$$

What is this equation? Can you identify the terms in it?

Scale Analysis

It's of course the horizontal momentum equation

 $u, v \rightarrow zonal$, meridional wind components $p \rightarrow \text{pressure}$ $\rho \rightarrow \text{density}$ $f \rightarrow \text{Coriolis parameter}$ $\frac{du}{dt}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \rightarrow$ Lagrangian, Eulerian time derivatives $u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \rightarrow advection$ $-rac{1}{
ho}rac{\partial m{
ho}}{\partial x}
ightarrow$ horizontal pressure gradient force $f_V \rightarrow \text{Coriolis}$ acceleration

Scale Analysis

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + fv$$

20 / 70

With scale analysis, we

- assign a characteristic value for each of the variables,
- estimate the magnitude of each term, and
- determine their relative importance

For example

$$rac{du}{dt}\simrac{\Delta u}{\Delta t}\simrac{V}{T}$$
 ,

where,

- V is the velocity scale (typical magnitude or amplitude if described as a wave component), and
- T the time scale (typical length of time for velocity to change by Δu, or the period for oscillations

$$rac{du}{dt} \sim rac{\Delta u}{\Delta t} \sim rac{V}{T}$$
 ,

A point of emphasis

- The typical magnitude of change defines the scale, which is not always the same as the magnitude of the quantity itself.
- The absolute temperature is a good example, as is surface pressure.

Scale Analysis

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + fv$$

The utility of scale analysis

- For different scales, the terms in the equation of motion have different importance.
- This leads to different behavior of the motion, meaning there is a dynamic significance to the scale!

Let's do the scale analysis for *synoptic scale motion* using the horizontal momentum equation

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ

24 / 70

- \blacktriangleright $V \sim 10 \ {\rm ms}^{-1}$
- $W \sim 0.1 \ {
 m ms}^{-1}$
- ▶ $L \sim 1000 \text{ km} = 10^6 \text{ m}$
- $H \sim 10 \text{ km} = 10^4 \text{ m}$
- $\blacktriangleright ~T\sim L/V\sim 10^5~{\rm s}$
- $ightharpoons f \sim 10^{-4} \ {
 m s}^{-1}$
- $\blacktriangleright~\rho\sim 1~{\rm kg}\,{\rm m}^{-3}$
- Δp in horizontal $\sim 10 \text{ mb} = 1000 \text{ Pa}$

 Δp in horizontal $\sim 10 \ {
m mb} = 1000 \ {
m Pa}$

- Note, again, that it is the typical variation that determines that typical scale, not the value itself
- \blacktriangleright Using the scale of 1000 ${\rm mb}$ will give you the wrong result





$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$	+fv
$\frac{V}{T}$	$\frac{VV}{L}$	$\frac{WV}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho L}$	fV
$\frac{10}{10^5}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^6}$	$\frac{0.1\times10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^3}{1\times 10^6}$	$10^{-4} imes 10$

$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$	+fv
$\frac{V}{T}$	$\frac{VV}{L}$	$\frac{WV}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho L}$	fV
$\frac{10}{10^5}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^6}$	$\frac{0.1\times10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^3}{1\times 10^6}$	$10^{-4} imes 10$
10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-3}	10^{-3}

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



It turns out that the time tendency and advection terms are one order of magnitude smaller for synoptic scale flows.

The pressure gradient force and Coriolis force are in rough balance. What kind of flow do you get in this case? *quasi-geostrophic* flow.

Scale analysis confirms our previous dynamical reasoning.

Let's do the scale analysis for *synoptic scale motion* using the vertical momentum equation

• Δp over vertical length scale $H \sim 1000 \text{ mb} = 10^5 \text{ Pa}$



$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - g$$
$$\frac{W}{T} - \frac{VW}{L} - \frac{WW}{H} - \frac{\Delta p}{\rho H} - g$$

<ロ><回><一><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>33/70

$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}$	-g
$\frac{W}{T}$	$\frac{VW}{L}$	$\frac{WW}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho H}$	g
$\frac{0.1}{10^5}$	$\frac{10\times0.1}{10^6}$	$\frac{0.1\times0.1}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^5}{1\times 10^4}$	10

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > 34 / 70

$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}$	-g
$\frac{W}{T}$	$\frac{VW}{L}$	$\frac{WW}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho H}$	g
$\frac{0.1}{10^5}$	$\frac{10\times0.1}{10^6}$	$\frac{0.1\times0.1}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^5}{1\times 10^4}$	10
10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10	10

<ロト < 回ト < 巨ト < 巨ト < 巨ト 三 の Q (~ 35 / 70



Vertical acceleration \ll vertical pressure gradient and gravitational terms, which are of the same order of magnitude.

The balance between these two terms gives the *hydrostatic balance* - a very good approximation for synoptic scale flows.

Also, the vertical motion is much smaller than the horizontal motion.

We saw a good example of horizontal scale determining the dynamics of motion!

In summary, synoptic (and up) scale flows are

- quasi-two-dimensional (because $w \ll u$)
- hydrostatic (we can see it by performing scale analysis for vertical equation of motion)
- Coriolis force is a dominant term in the equation of motion and it is in rough balance with the PGF
- the flow is quasi-geostrophic

What's all this synoptic scale stuff? What about the mesoscale?

Okay. Let's do the scale analysis for *mesoscale motion* using the horizontal momentum equation

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 $V \sim 10 \ {
m ms}^{-1}$

- $W \sim 1 \ {
 m ms}^{-1}$ (†)
- $L \sim 100 \text{ km} = 10^5 \text{ m} (\downarrow)$
- $H \sim 10 \text{ km} = 10^4 \text{ m}$
- $T \sim L/V = 10^4 \text{ s} (\downarrow)$
- $f \sim 10^{-4} \ {
 m s}^{-1}$
- $\triangleright
 ho \sim 1 \ {\rm kg \, m^{-3}}$
- Δp in horizontal $\sim 1 \text{ mb} = 100 \text{ Pa} (\downarrow)$



・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 注 ト ・ 注 ・ 注 ・ う へ (*) 39 / 70



・ロ ・ < 部 ・ < 言 ・ < 言 ・ 言 ・ う へ (* 40 / 70
</p>

$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$	+fv
$\frac{V}{T}$	$\frac{VV}{L}$	$\frac{WV}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho L}$	fV
$\frac{10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^5}$	$\frac{1\times 10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^2}{1\times 10^5}$	$10^{-4} imes 10$

$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$	+fv
$\frac{V}{T}$	$\frac{VV}{L}$	$\frac{WV}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho L}$	fV
$\frac{10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^5}$	$\frac{1\times 10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^2}{1\times 10^5}$	$10^{-4} \times 10$
10^{-3}	10 ⁻³	10^{-3}	10 ⁻³	10^{-3}

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + fv$$

$$10^{-3} \quad 10^{-3} \quad 10^{-3} \quad 10^{-3} \quad 10^{-3}$$

All terms in the equation are of the same magnitude

None of them can be neglected

We no longer have geostrophy!

Let's do the scale analysis for *mesoscale motion* using the vertical momentum equation

 The hydrostatic approximation is still reasonable for the mesoscale



$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - g$$
$$\frac{W}{T} \frac{VW}{L} \frac{WW}{H} \frac{\Delta p}{\rho H} g$$

<ロ><回><一><一><一><一><一><一><一</th>4日>日46/70

$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}$	-g
$\frac{W}{T}$	$\frac{VW}{L}$	$\frac{WW}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho H}$	g
$\frac{1}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times1}{10^5}$	$\frac{1\times 1}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^5}{1\times 10^4}$	10

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ つ へ ()
47 / 70

$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}$	-g
$\frac{W}{T}$	$\frac{VW}{L}$	$\frac{WW}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho H}$	g
$\frac{1}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times1}{10^5}$	$\frac{1\times 1}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^5}{1\times 10^4}$	10
10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10	10

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≧ の Q (~ 48 / 70



Vertical acceleration \ll vertical pressure gradient and gravitational terms, which are of the same order of magnitude.

The balance between these two terms gives the *hydrostatic balance* - still a good approximation for mesoscale flows.

The vertical motion is much smaller than the horizontal motion.

Scale analysis confirms our previous dynamical reasoning.

In summary, for mesoscale flows:

- non-geostrophic (*i.e.*, ageostrophic component is significant)
- quasi-two-dimensional (because $w \ll u$)
- nearly hydrostatic
- Coriolis force is non-negligible

This can be a *dynamic definition of the mesoscale*, or in Orlanski's definition, the **meso-** β scale.

We can go one step further down the scale, looking at cumulus convection or even supercell storms.

Let's do the scale analysis using the horizontal momentum equation

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 $V \sim 10 \ {
m ms}^{-1}$

- $W \sim 10 \ {
 m ms}^{-1} \ (\uparrow)$
- $L \sim 10 \text{ km} = 10^4 \text{ m} (\downarrow)$
- \blacktriangleright $H \sim 10 \text{ km} = 10^4 \text{ m}$
- $T \sim L/V = 10^3 \mathrm{s} (\downarrow)$
- $f \sim 10^{-4} \ {
 m s}^{-1}$
- $\triangleright
 ho \sim 1 \ {\rm kg \, m^{-3}}$
- Δp in horizontal $\sim 1 \text{ mb} = 100 \text{ Pa}$



・ロ ・ ・ (型 ・ く 速 ・ く 速 ・ 速 ・ の Q ()
52 / 70



$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$	+fv
$\frac{V}{T}$	$\frac{VV}{L}$	$\frac{WV}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho L}$	fV
$\frac{10}{10^3}$	$\frac{10\times 10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^2}{1\times 10^4}$	$10^{-4} imes 10$

$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$	+fv
$\frac{V}{T}$	$\frac{VV}{L}$	$\frac{WV}{H}$	$\frac{\Delta p}{\rho L}$	fV
$\frac{10}{10^3}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times 10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^2}{1\times 10^4}$	$10^{-4} \times 10$
10^{-2}	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	10^{-3}

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + fv$$

$$10^{-2} \quad 10^{-2} \quad 10^{-2} \quad 10^{-2} \quad 10^{-3}$$

Coriolis force is an order of magnitude smaller than the other terms

Coriolis can be neglected when studying cumulus convection that lasts for an hour or so.

Again, the acceleration term is as important as the PGF.

Let's do the scale analysis using the vertical momentum equation - which are now based on the Bousinessq equations of motion.

- $\blacktriangleright \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \approx 0$
- $\rho = \text{constant everywhere, except when paired with gravity}$
- viscosity, thermal diffusivity, and specific heat are assumed constant

The Boussinesq approximation is used because it is the residual between the PGF and buoyancy force terms. Therefore we want to estimate the terms in terms of the deviations/perturbations from the hydrostatically balanced base state.



・ロ ・ ・ 一部 ・ ・ 注 ト ・ 注 ・ う Q (**)
58 / 70



$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial p'}{\partial z}$	$+rac{ heta'}{\overline{ heta}}g$
$\frac{W}{T}$	$\frac{VW}{L}$	$\frac{WW}{H}$	$rac{\Delta p}{\overline{ ho}H}$	$rac{\Delta heta}{ heta_0} g$
$\frac{10}{10^3}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^2}{0.5\times 10^4}$	$\frac{1\times10}{300}$

$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$	$+u\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$	$+w\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$	$= -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial p'}{\partial z}$	$+rac{ heta'}{\overline{ heta}}g$
$\frac{W}{T}$	$\frac{VW}{L}$	$\frac{WW}{H}$	$rac{\Delta p}{\overline{ ho}H}$	$rac{\Delta heta}{ heta_0} g$
$\frac{10}{10^3}$	$\frac{10\times10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10\times 10}{10^4}$	$\frac{10^2}{0.5\times 10^4}$	$\frac{1\times10}{300}$
10 ⁻²	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	$2 imes 10^{-2}$	$3 imes 10^{-2}$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial z} + \frac{\theta'}{\overline{\theta}} g$$
$$10^{-2} \quad 10^{-2} \quad 10^{-2} \quad 2 \times 10^{-2} \quad 3 \times 10^{-2}$$

Here we are using the vertical mean density as the density scale in PGF term.

Clearly, the vertical acceleration term is now important.

Thus, the hydrostatic approximation is no longer applicable.

In summary, for convective/storm scale:

- three-dimensional
- nonhydrostatic
- ageostrophic
- Coriolis force is negligible

In Orlanski's definition, this is the **meso-** γ scale.

63 / 70

As one goes further down to the micro-scales, the basic dynamics becomes similar to the ${\rm meso-}\gamma$ flows - the flow is

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

64 / 70

- three-dimensional
- nonhydrostatic
- ageostrophic
- Coriolis force is negligible

The analysis I showed made assumptions about whether the hydrostatic approximation was appropriate. Here's how to do that.

We want to assess the scales of vertical acceleration and PGF. You can show that

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{dw}{dt}\right)}{\mathcal{O}\left(-\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial p'}{\partial z}\right)} \sim \left(\frac{H}{L}\right)^2$$

where

 $\frac{H}{L} \rightarrow \text{aspect ratio}$

Know whether hydrostatic is reasonable a prioiri

 $H/L \ll 1
ightarrow$ hydrostatic (at least a reasonable approximation)

 $H/L\gtrsim 1
ightarrow$ nonhydrostatic

From our cases: Synoptic: $H/L = 10^4/10^6 = 10^{-2} \rightarrow \text{hydrostatic}$ Meso- β : $H/L = 10^4/10^5 = 10^{-1} \rightarrow \text{hydrostatic}$ Meso- γ : $H/L = 10^4/10^4 = 1 \rightarrow \text{nonhydrostatic}$

Read for yourself Markowski and Richardson (page 9) for complete details.

Know whether hydrostatic is reasonable a prioiri



67 / 70

There are more than one way to define the scales of weather systems.

- Time/space scales of the system.
- Physically meaningful non-dimensional parameters (*e.g.*, Rossby number).

The most important thing to know is the key characteristics associated with weather systems/disturbances at each of these scales!

Don't get caught up in arbitrary names scientists give to the scales. Focus on physical features.

The End

Have a Great Weekend