

LARGE-EDDY SIMULATION OF TURBULENT FLOWS

ME EN 7960-003

Project #1

Due: November 22nd

An *a posteriori* study of LES SFS models

***a posteriori* studies of LES SFS models**

The ultimate test of an LES SFS model is to examine its performance in actual turbulent flow simulations. Many different types of flows have been used for this purpose. Homogeneous turbulent flows (*e.g.*, Lu et al., 2008) are popular candidates, including homogeneous shear flow, rotating turbulence, forced isotropic turbulence (*e.g.*, Overholt and Pope, 1998), and decaying isotropic turbulence. These flows are chosen for many reasons including lack of difficulties associated with filtering and modeling at boundary conditions and the relative ease (compared to more complex flows) in analyzing the resulting turbulent flow fields.

In addition to these traditional flows used to study the performance of LES SFS models, researchers have also used simplified mathematical models of the Navier-Stokes equations to test models (and in general study the physics of turbulence). One method of particular interest is the use of the stochastic Burgers equation (or Burgulence) to test models (*e.g.*, Basu, 2009). This offers the advantage of simple model implementation and overall low computational costs (even for DNS).

project description

The class project will be to conduct your own *a posteriori* study of SFS models. You will do this using the provided Burgers turbulence code (Matlab). The minimum requirement will be to examine three SFS models (see Lecture 12 for a rough summary of different types of SFS models). You will submit the assignment in the form of a short report (maximum of 4-5 pages, including references and figures). In this project, you will need to implement the models in the provided stochastic 1D Burgers equation code.

I expect that you will not simply implement the two easiest models that you can find (the code already includes constant coefficient Smagorinsky model), but will instead choose models based on their properties that make them ideal for your problem of interest. Don't feel confined to the limited description given here and contact me if you want to do something closer to your research topic but are unsure of how to proceed. The project report is due by Tuesday November 22nd.

The report should contain the following components (or equivalent). You don't need to think of this list as defining the sections of your paper, rather it offers key elements that I will be looking for:

- A brief introduction explaining the general idea of LES and the goal of your study. You don't have to show all the LES equations, but you must include at least a description of the LES methodology. This includes a description of scale separation using a low-pass filter and the closure problem (*i.e.*, what SFS stress term must be modeled). You should also briefly describe the rationale of using the 1D filtered Burgers equation as a model for the full LES equations.

- A description of the three models you have chosen to evaluate, including at least their general basis, any key assumptions they make, and any interesting model coefficients etc. that they use.
- A short description of the numerical implementation (e.g., pseudospectral methods, etc.). The Matlab code that you will be using is based on Basu (2009) and will be discussed during the next lecture. You should make sure to mention:
 - numerical methods used to solve the equation
 - initial conditions
 - simulation parameters that govern the evolution and initial velocity field
 - grid resolution and time step that you used for each case and the total execution time – also specify the type of computer used and version of Matlab

You should cite references when possible, although citing a reference does not completely get you off the hook from describing the code you are using. The requirement is that an informed reader (in numerical methods and turbulence) doesn't have to check the references just to get a basic idea of your numerical treatment. I expect your description to be as brief as possible.

- Key results (statistical) from your study. See below for a description of the minimum stats to calculate.
- A summary of the major findings from your study. It is fine if these are similar results to what has been found previously or are not completely conclusive. Still, your summary should demonstrate knowledge of the models you tested and their strengths, limitations, and any differences.
- Any references you used in your report

Your report should give as a minimum the following statistics. Note, you are encouraged to calculate other relevant turbulence and SFS statistics depending on your application/interests and the models that you choose to study. Listed here are minimum requirements. All statistics should be compared to statistics calculated from the provided DNS data or your own DNS run.

- Evolution of the kinetic energy (KE) for the entire flow field and how it adjusts with time for each model and for at least two filter widths Δ (see Basu figure 6).
- The second-order structure function and energy spectrum of the velocity both averaged over 100 time increments for each model and for at least two filter widths Δ (see Basu figure 7). Note you can calculate the spectrum using Fourier transforms (instead of Haar wavelets used by Basu, 2009).
- A plot of the temporal evolution of the mean SFS, molecular, and total energy dissipation for each of your chosen models for at least two filter widths Δ (see Basu figure 8).
- The centralized skewness and kurtosis factors of the velocity increments as functions of the separation distance for each tested SFS model for at least two filter widths (see Basu figures 3 and 10).

Procedurally, you will calculate your DNS statistics by first separating the velocity field into resolved and SFS components by calculating \tilde{u} and $\widetilde{u^2}$ where the tilde ($\tilde{}$) is a filter at scale Δ . Note, calculating $\widetilde{u^2}$ means filtering the product u^2 . Use one of the common filters discussed in class (and that you used in homework #2) that is appropriate for the model you are comparing to. Once you have the filtered fields you can calculate the exact SFS stress tensor $\tau^\Delta = \widetilde{u^2} - \tilde{u}\tilde{u}$ and the exact filtered strain rate tensor (simply the filtered velocity derivative in this context). You will also need to calculate the other statistics listed above to compare with. This is an important step when comparing LES to DNS data to make sure that the effect of filter shape is included in the analysis (e.g., for spectra) and that you are comparing the same statistics.

The project report is due by Tuesday November 22nd. Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions or problems.

References

- [1] R. Anderson and C. Meneveau. Effects of similarity model in finite-difference LES of isotropic turbulence using a Lagrangian dynamic mixed model. *Flow, Turbul. Combust.*, 62:201–225, 1999.
- [2] V. Armenio and U. Piomelli. Applications of a Lagrangian mixed model in generalized coordinates. *Flow, Turbul. Combust.*, 65:51–81, 2000.
- [3] J. W. Deardorff. A numerical study of three-dimensional turbulent channel flow at large Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 41:453–480, 1970.
- [4] J. W. Deardorff. The use of subgrid transport equations in a three-dimensional model of atmospheric turbulence. *J. Fluids Eng.*, 95:429–438, 1973.
- [5] M. Germano. Turbulence: the filtering approach. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 238:325–336, 1992.
- [6] M. Germano, U. Piomelli, M. Parviz, and W. H. Cabot. A dynamic subgrid-scale eddy viscosity model. *Phys. Fluids A*, 3(7):1760–1765, 1991.
- [7] B. Geurts. *Elements of Direct and Large-Eddy Simulations*. R. T. Edwards, Philadelphia, 2004.
- [8] S. Ghosal, T. S. Lund, P. Moin, and K. Akselvoll. A dynamic localization model for large-eddy simulation of turbulent flows. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 286:229–255, 1995.
- [9] A. N. Kolmogorov. The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large Reynold number. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 30:299–303, 1941. (English translation, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 434, 9–13, 1991.).
- [10] M. Lesieur, O. Metais, and P. Comte. *Large-Eddy Simulations of Turbulence*. Cambridge University Press, U. K., 2005.

- [11] D. K. Lilly. The representation of small-scale turbulence in numerical simulation experiments. In *In Proc. IBM Scientific Computing Symposium on Environmental Sciences*, page 195, White Plains, N. Y., 1967. IBM Data Process. Div.
- [12] D. K. Lilly. A proposed modification of the Germano subgrid-scale closure method. *Phys. Fluids A*, 4(3):633–635, 1992.
- [13] P. J. Mason. Large-eddy simulation: A critical review of the technique. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 120:1–26, 1994.
- [14] P. J. Mason and A. R. Brown. On subgrid models and filter operations in large-eddy simulations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 56:2101–2114, 1999.
- [15] P. J. Mason and D. J. Thomson. Stochastic backscatter in large-eddy simulations of boundary layers. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 242:51–78, 1992.
- [16] C. Meneveau and J. Katz. Scale-invariance and turbulence models for large-eddy simulation. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 32:1–32, 2000.
- [17] C. Meneveau and T. S. Lund. The dynamic Smagorinsky model and scale-dependent coefficients in the viscous range of turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 9(12):3932–3934, 1997.
- [18] C. Meneveau, T. S. Lund, and W. H. Cabot. A Lagrangian dynamic subgrid-scale model of turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 319:353–385, 1996.
- [19] O. Métais and M. Lesieur. Spectral large-eddy simulations of isotropic and stably-stratified turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 239:157–194, 1992.
- [20] P. Moin, W. Squires, W. Cabot, and S. Lee. A dynamic subgrid-scale model for compressible turbulence and scalar transport. *Phys. Fluids A*, 3(11):2746–2756, 1991.
- [21] U. Piomelli. High Reynolds number calculations using the dynamic subgrid-scale stress model. *Phys. Fluids A*, 5:1484–1490, 1993.
- [22] U. Piomelli and E. Balaras. Wall-layer models for large-eddy simulations. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 34:349–374, 2002.
- [23] S. Pope. *Turbulent Flows*. Cambridge University Press, U. K., 2000.
- [24] S. Pope. Ten questions concerning the large-eddy simulation of turbulent flows. *New J. Phys.*, 6:35, 2004.
- [25] F. Porté-Agel. A scale-dependent dynamic model for scalar transport in large-eddy simulations of the atmospheric boundary layer. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 112:81–105, 2004.
- [26] F. Porté-Agel, C. Meneveau, and M. B. Parlange. A scale-dependent dynamic model for large-eddy simulations: Application to a neutral atmospheric boundary layer. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 415:261–284, 2000.
- [27] P. Sagaut. *Large Eddy Simulation for Incompressible flows: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, third edition, 2006.

- [28] F. Sarghini, U. Piomelli, and E. Balaras. Scale-similar models for large-eddy simulations. *Phys. Fluids*, 11(6):1596–1607, 1999.
- [29] U. Schumann. Subgrid scale model for finite difference simulations of turbulent flows in plane channels and annuli. *J. Comp. Phys.*, 18:376–404, 1975.
- [30] A. Scotti, C. Meneveau, and D. K. Lilly. Generalized Smagorinsky model for anisotropic grids. *Phys. Fluids*, 5:2306–2308, 1993.
- [31] J. Smagorinsky. General circulation experiments with the primitive equations, part 1: the basic experiment. *Mon. Weat. Rev.*, 91:99–164, 1963.
- [32] R. Stoll and F. Porté-Agel. Dynamic subgrid-scale models for momentum and scalar fluxes in large-eddy simulations of neutrally stratified atmospheric boundary layers over heterogeneous terrain. *Water Resources Res.*, 42:W01409, 2006. DOI 10.1029/2005WR003989.
- [33] R. Stoll and F. Porté-Agel. Effect of roughness on surface boundary conditions for large-eddy simulation. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 118:169–187, 2006. DOI 10.1007/s10546-005-4735-2.
- [34] R. Stoll and F. Porté-Agel. Large-eddy simulation of the stable atmospheric boundary layer using dynamic models with different averaging schemes. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 2007. DOI 10.1007/s10546-007-9207-4.
- [35] R. B. Stull. *An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [36] P. P. Sullivan, J. C. McWilliams, and C. H. Moeng. A subgrid-scale model for large-eddy simulation of planetary boundary-layer flows. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 71:247–276, 1994.
- [37] Y. Zang, R. L. Street, and J. R. Koseff. A dynamic mixed subgrid-scale model and its application to turbulent recirculating flows. *Phys. Fluids A*, 5:3186–3196, 1993.